

<sup>2</sup> Objections were due by November 19, 2022. *See* ECF Nos. 63 & 64.

Magistrate Judge’s recommendations. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199–200 (4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. *See Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation’” (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note)).

Having found no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge’s R & R [ECF No. 63] and **DISMISSES** this action *without prejudice* pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Florence, South Carolina  
December 8, 2022

s/ R. Bryan Harwell  
R. Bryan Harwell  
Chief United States District Judge